### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

### MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003

THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC
Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems and Financial Consultants

### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

### MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003

### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003

### **Table of Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Financial Section	
Schedule of Assets and Liabilities	2
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures	3
Notes to Schedules	4
Supplementary Information Section	
Bond Program – Purpose of Bond Issuance	9
Schedule of Budget to Accumulated Actual Expenditures	10
Schedule of Budget and Accumulated Actual Expenditure Summary	11
Schedule of Budget and Accumulated Actual Expenditure Detail	13
Other Independent Auditor's Reports	
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Based On an Audit Performed In Accordance with Government  Auditing Standards	35
Independent Auditor's Report on State Compliance	

### THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC

### Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, CA 90503

310-792-7001 Fax: 310-792-7068 1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005

202-737-3300 Fax: 202-737-2684 100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hartford, CT 06103 203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Members of the Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of the West Contra Costa Unified School District (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003, as listed in the Table of Contents. These Schedules are the responsibility of West Contra Costa Unified School District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenues and Expenditures of the Bonds are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Schedules referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the transactions of Measure M General Obligation Bonds of the District as of and for the years ended June 30, 2001, 2002, and 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report, dated November 21, 2003 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, as they relate to the Schedules. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Schedules of the West Contra Costa Unified School District, Measure M General Obligation Bonds. The Schedules on pages 9 through 34 of this report are presented for purposes of additional analysis. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Schedules and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the Schedules taken as a whole.

Torrance, California November 21, 2003

### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AS OF JUNE 30, 2001, 2002, and 2003

	2003		2002	2001
ASSETS				
Cash In County Treasury	\$	82,159,079	\$ -	\$ -
Cash Awaiting Deposit		86,406	8,812	832
Investments - LAIF (Note 2)		-	-	14,875,000
Investments - Other (Note 2)		38,602,804	53,502,628	-
Interest Receivable		21,557	16,109	 -
Total Assets		120,869,846	 53,527,549	 14,875,832
LIABILITIES				
Accounts Payable (Note 3)		2,158,739	8,931,029	327,474
Contract Retention		_	 106,347	 
Total Liabilities		2,158,739	 9,037,376	 327,474
EXCESS OF ASSETS OVER LIABILITIES	\$	118,711,107	\$ 44,490,173	\$ 14,548,358



### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REVENUES and EXPENDITURES FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003

	2003	2002	2001	TOTAL	
REVENUES					
Interest	\$ 1,574,814	\$ 1,070,167	\$ 4	\$ 2,644,985	
Other Local Revenues	219,004			219,004	
Total Revenues	1,793,818	1,070,167	4	2,863,989	
EXPENDITURES					
Classified salaries	289,080	12,777	-	301,857	
Employee benefits	86,924	3819	-	90,743	
Non-capitalized equipment and supplies	11,693	5,832	4,691	22,216	
Services and other operating expenses	563,031	820,178	446,955	1,830,164	
Capital outlay	17,952,182	8,921,376		26,873,558	
Total Expenditures	18,902,910	9,763,982	451,646	29,118,538	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES					
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(17,109,092)	(8,693,815)	(451,642)	(26,254,549)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Proceeds from bond sales	95,000,000	40,000,000	15,000,000	150,000,000	
Interfund Transfers Out (Note 4)	(1,218,026)	(1,222,467)		(2,440,493)	
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	93,781,974	38,777,533	15,000,000	147,559,507	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUE AND					
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER					
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	\$ 76,672,882	\$ 30,083,718	\$ 14,548,358	\$ 121,304,958	



### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the West Contra Costa Unified School District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governments and to general practices within California school districts. The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Department of Education's California School Accounting Manual. The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

### A. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The Schedules are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after yearend. Expenditures are generally recognized when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

### B. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's governing board must adopt a final budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements.

The District's governing board and superintendent revise the budgets during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures.

As a first step in developing the bond construction program, the District together with its Bond team consultants, completed an evaluation of all elementary and secondary schools in order to develop a recommended priority ranking of elementary, middle and high schools. This ranking was based on several factors including structural design and safety considerations. Based on this evaluation, Elementary and Secondary schools of the District were ranked in order of need on separate lists with the most needy schools being ranked highest. In addition, preliminary budgets were developed for each school based on the evaluation. These listings and preliminary budgets became the basis for the District's governing board and superintendent to authorize work to continue through design and construction drawings for first nine elementary campuses. Throughout this process cost estimations were completed and budgets were revised. The budgets presented in this report are based on the most up to date information available including construction bids for



### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

the first nine campuses. As milestones are reached in the design phase and as construction bids are approved, the individual school project budgets are revised and presented to the governing board for their review and approval. The District is now in the design phase for the next nine elementary campuses and five secondary campuses.

The District's construction program is not based solely on the proceeds from bonds. The district has other sources of revenue to fund some of the required expenditures. Budgets for the renovation of all schools are prepared and maintained together with all potential resources in order to keep track of the entire school renovation program, not just the sites being funded by currently available bond dollars. The supplementary information included in this report is based on this project based budget concept and therefore presents the total project budget for all schools of the district together with all potential revenue sources rather then just the campuses being worked on at the present time.

Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

### C. Accounting Estimates

The presentation of the schedules in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the schedules and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated as of June 30.

### NOTE 2: INVESTMENTS

The District directs the County Treasurer to invest excess funds in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The fund is an investment pool managed by the California State Treasurer. Monies in Measure M can be invested in any one or more investments generally permitted to school districts under the laws of the State including those authorized in the Resolution.



### NOTE 3: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts Payable is comprised of payments due to vendors and LAIF:

	Due	Due to Vendor		ue to LAIF	Total			
June 30, 2001	\$	23,020	\$	304,454	\$	327,474		
June 30, 2002	\$	3,646,985	\$	5,284,044	\$	8,931,029		
June 30, 2003	\$	2,158,738	\$	-	\$	2,158,738		

### NOTE 4: INTERFUND TRANSFERS IN/OUT

Interfund Activities are reported as either loans and services provided, reimbursements or transfers. Interfund transfers consist of operating transfers from funds receiving resources to funds through which the resources are to be expended. There were no interfund transfers in fiscal year ending June 30, 2001. Interfund transactions for June 30, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

	Transfer Out	Transfer In
June 30, 2002		
Measure M Bonds	\$ (1,222,467)	\$ -
Deferred Maintenance Fund	-	1,222,467
June 30, 2003		
Measure M Bonds	(1,218,026)	-
Deferred Maintenance Fund		1,218,026
Total	\$ (2,440,493)	\$ 2,440,493

### NOTE 5: GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ISSUES

The bonds are general obligations of the district, and Contra Costa County is obligated to levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of and interest on, and the principal of, the bonds. The Bond Interest and Redemption Fund is maintained by the County Treasurer and is used to account for both the accumulation of resources from ad valorem tax levies and the interest and redemption of principal of the fund of the Bonds issued by the District.



### NOTE 5: GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ISSUES (Continued)

In 2000, the District received authorization through Measure M from the November 7, 2000, election to issue up to \$150,000,000 of bonds. The bonds are general obligations of the District and Contra Costa County is obligated to levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of the interest and the principal of the bonds.

On May 2, 2002, the District issued \$15,000,000 of Measure M, Series A bonds to fund the acquisition and construction of real property and improvement of real property. The interest rate ranges from 4.8% to 7.9%. The final maturity date is August 1, 2031.

On February 12, 2002, the District issued \$40,000,000 of Measure M, Series B bonds to fund the acquisition and construction of real property and improvement of real property. The interest rate ranges from 4% to 6%. The final maturity date is August 1, 2031.

On April 1, 2003, the District issued \$95,000,000 of Measure M, Series C bonds to fund the acquisition and construction of real property and improvement of real property. The interest rate ranges from 2.5%-5%. The final maturity date is August 1, 2032.



### **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**



### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE M PURPOSE OF BOND ISSUANCE

### **AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE**

The District received authorization to issue \$150,000,000 of bonds at an election held on November 7, 2000 by an affirmative vote of more than two-thirds of the votes cast under the 2000 Authorization. The District has issued \$15 million General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series A, dated May 1, 2001 and \$40,000,000 General Obligation Bonds, Election 2000, Series B, dated February 1, 2002, and \$95,000,000 of Series C dated April 1, 2003. The Bonds represent the third and final series under the 2000 Authorization.

#### **PURPOSE OF BONDS**

The proceeds of the Bonds may be used to improve the learning climate for children by upgrading educational facilities through such projects such as reconstructing, modernizing, renovating and repairing bathrooms, electrical, plumbing, heating and ventilation systems, leaking roofs and fire safety systems, improving technology, making seismic upgrades and replacing deteriorating portable classrooms and buildings.

As required by the California Constitution, the proceeds from the sale of bonds will be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.



						% <b>O</b> F
	]	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
EXPENDITURES		BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Classified Salaries	\$	-	\$	301,857	\$ (301,857)	
Employee Benefits		-		90,743	(90,743)	
Books and Supplies		-		22,216	(22,216)	
Rentals, Leases and Repairs		16,034,055		364,374	15,669,681	
Travel		-		975	(975)	
Contracted Services		-		1,464,815	(1,464,815)	
Site Improvements		-		53,250	(53,250)	
Buildings and improvements		512,109,657		26,635,007	485,474,650	
General Equipment		=		185,301	(185,301)	
Total Expenditures		528,143,712		\$ 29,118,538	\$ 499,025,174	94%

### **Planned Revenue Sources:**

Measure M Bond	150,000,000
State Funding on Phase 1A Projects	8,910,114
State Funding on Quick Start Projects	3,863,449
Capital Facility Fund	6,400,000
Contra Costa County Head Start	900,000
Interest	6,000,000
State Funding on Phase 1B Projects	 11,390,390
<b>Total Projected Funding</b>	 187,463,953
Additional Funding Required	\$ 340,679,759



% OF

SCHOOL/PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PHASE	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	% OF BUDGET REMAINING	% OF CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED TO DATE
Bayview Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	\$ 16,050,070	\$ 304,210	98%	0%
Chavez Elementary School Renovation	Phase 3	499,988	62,506	87%	14%
Castro Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	12,291,969	369,708	97%	3%
Collins Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	14,822,369	349,041	98%	2%
Coronado Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	10,769,228	447,196	96%	4%
Dover Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	11,955,471	484,834	96%	4%
Downer Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	29,278,997	523,096	98%	0%
Ellerhorst Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	11,075,686	246,597	98%	0%
El Sobrante Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	9,702,827	422,385	96%	4%
Fairmont Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	10,276,875	595,867	94%	5%
Ford Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	10,584,934	399,346	96%	3%
Grant Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	14,124,945	559,179	96%	3%
Harding Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	14,608,638	919,114	94%	0%
Hanna Ranch Elementary School Renovation	Phase 3	499,988	29,363	94%	4%
Hercules Elementary School Replacement	Phase 1A	15,526,746	1,041,334	93%	0%
Highland Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	13,079,829	106,679	99%	0%
Kensington Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	16,403,803	248,827	98%	0%
Lake Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	11,656,839	486,850	96%	4%
Lincoln Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	15,412,111	1,185,924	92%	1%
M L King Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	15,849,034	224,421	99%	0%
Madera Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	10,617,257	759,638	93%	0%
Mira Vista Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	12,683,097	306,724	98%	0%
Montalvin Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	10,922,805	757,810	93%	1%
Murphy Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	12,436,624	268,035	98%	0%
New Elementary School	Phase 3	23,211,825	-	100%	0%
New Elementary School Land Acquire	Phase 3	6,400,000	-	100%	0%
Nystrom Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	20,169,589	826,060	96%	4%
Ohlone Elementary School Renovation	Phase 3	13,452,359	188,204	99%	0%
Olinda Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	7,243,352	357,413	95%	4%
Peres Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	17,622,891	1,298,216	93%	0%
Riverside Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	12,402,527	750,006	94%	0%
Seaview Elementary School Renovation	Phase 3	8,141,216	381,545	95%	4%
Shannon Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	7,621,536	296,658	96%	3%
Sheldon Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	14,180,543	293,525	98%	0%



					% OF
				% OF	CONSTRUCTION
		PROJECT	EXPENDITURES	BUDGET	COMPLETED
SCHOOL/PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PHASE	BUDGET	TO DATE	REMAINING	TO DATE
Stege Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	12,077,688	495,156	96%	4%
Stewart Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	9,576,019	3,850,036	70%	37%
Stewart Elementary Temporary Campus	Phase 1A	3,400,922	-	100%	0%
Tara Hills Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	12,349,017	244,863	98%	0%
Valley View Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2B	10,614,925	430,137	96%	4%
Verde Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1A	13,976,155	811,700	94%	1%
Vista Hills Elementary School Renovation	-	-	2,000	-100%	0%
Washington Elementary School Renovation	Phase 1B	13,804,464	233,593	98%	0%
Wilson Elementary School Renovation	Phase 2A	13,234,205	474,704	96%	3%
Quick Start Projects **	Phase 1A	7,534,348	-	100%	0%
Fiscal Services *	All	-	85,767	-100%	0%
Operational Support Services *	All		7,000,271	-100%	0%
Totals		\$ 528,143,712	\$ 29,118,538	94%	2%

### Planned Revenue Sources:

Measure M Bond	150,000,000
State Funding on Phase 1A Projects	8,910,114
State Funding on Quick Start Projects	3,863,449
Capital Facility Fund	6,400,000
Contra Costa County Head Start	900,000
Interest	6,000,000
State Funding on Phase 1B Projects	11,390,390
<b>Total Projected Funding</b>	187,463,953
Additional Funding Required	\$ 340,679,759

<sup>\* -</sup> To be Allocated to all Projects based on total Project Cost.



<sup>\*\* -</sup> Projects have been completed and were charged to the individual site.

### SITE # 104 - BAYVIEW ELELMENTARY

0/0

	]	PROJECT	EX	<b>KPENDITURES</b>			OF BUDGET
		BUDGET	TO DATE		V	ARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	11,760,234	\$	12,459	\$	11,747,775	100%
Other Services/Operations		-		2,294		(2,294)	-100%
Architect Fees		2,195,554		117,035		2,078,519	95%
Preliminary Tests		104,008		-		104,008	100%
Inspection Services		128,243		-		128,243	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		68,665		-		68,665	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees		10,098		-		10,098	100%
Site Surveys		21,205		-		21,205	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		484,359		-		484,359	100%
Planning		410,982		168,800		242,182	59%
Construction Testing		165,605		-		165,605	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		701,117		-		701,117	100%
General Equipment		-		3,622		(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	16,050,070	\$	304,210	\$	15,745,860	98%

### SITE # 105 - CHAVEZ ELEMENTARY

0/0

	P	ROJECT	E	XPENDITURES			OF BUDGET
	E	BUDGET		TO DATE	VA	ARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	370,105	\$	50,648	\$	319,457	86%
Architect Fees		65,736		1,939		63,797	97%
Preliminary Tests		3,271		-		3,271	100%
Inspection Services		4,033		-		4,033	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		2,160		-		2,160	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees		318		-		318	100%
Site Surveys		667		-		667	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		13,377		-		13,377	100%
Planning		12,925		6,297		6,628	51%
Construction Testing		5,208		-		5,208	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		22,188		-		22,188	100%
General Equipment		_		3,622		(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	499,988	\$	62,506	\$	437,482	87%



### SITE # 109 - CASTRO ELEMENTARY

n.	1
٧/	1

	]	PROJECT	E	KPENDITURES			OF BUDGET
		BUDGET		TO DATE	7	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	8,842,161	\$	239,081	\$	8,603,080	97%
Architect Fees		1,729,544		31,163		1,698,381	98%
Preliminary Tests		86,433		-		86,433	100%
Inspection Services		106,573		4,391		102,182	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees		57,063		766		56,297	99%
CDE Plan Check Fees		8,392		-		8,392	100%
Site Surveys		17,622		-		17,622	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		419,542		-		419,542	100%
Planning		341,538		93,802		247,736	73%
Construction Testing		137,622		505		137,117	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		545,478		-		545,478	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	12,291,969	\$	369,708	\$	11,922,261	97%

### SITE # 110 - COLLINS ELEMENTARY

	]	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES			OF BUDGET
		BUDGET		TO DATE	V	ARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	10,672,635	\$	172,948	\$	10,499,687	98%
Architect Fees		2,071,423		46,377		2,025,046	98%
Preliminary Tests		104,360		-		104,360	100%
Inspection Services		128,677		4,800		123,877	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees		68,898		2,108		66,790	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees		10,132		-		10,132	100%
Site Surveys		21,277		-		21,277	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		508,657		-		508,657	100%
Planning		412,375		122,284		290,091	70%
Construction Testing		166,166		524		165,642	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		657,769		_		657,769	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	14,822,369	\$	349,041	\$	14,473,328	98%



#### SITE # 112-CORONDAO ELEMENTARY

							%
	I	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES			OF BUDGET
	]	BUDGET		TO DATE	1	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	7,707,876	\$	302,632	\$	7,405,244	96%
Other Services/Operations		-		200		(200)	-100%
Architect Fees		1,523,967		41,138		1,482,829	97%
Preliminary Tests		75,644		-		75,644	100%
Inspection Services		93,270		5,238		88,032	94%
DSA Plan Check Fees		49,940		1,452		48,488	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees		7,344		-		7,344	100%
Site Surveys		15,423		-		15,423	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		398,515		-		398,515	100%
Planning		298,904		95,140		203,764	68%
Construction Testing		120,443		1,396		119,047	99%
Project Contingency and District Services		477,903		_		477,903	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	10,769,228	\$	447,196	\$	10,322,032	96%

### SITE # 115 - DOVER ELEMENTARY

% PROJECT EXPENDITURES **OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction 8,768,602 \$ 308,508 \$ 8,460,094 96% Architect Fees 1,625,884 1,570,015 97% 55,869 Preliminary Tests 81,029 100% 81,029 Inspection Services 99,910 6,450 93,460 94% DSA Plan Check Fees 91% 4,899 48,596 53,495 CDE Plan Check Fees 7,867 7,867 100% Site Surveys 16,521 16,521 100% 322,417 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 322,417 66% Planning 320,184 107,723 212,461 Construction Testing 129,017 1,385 127,632 99% Project Contingency and District Services 530,545 530,545 100% **TOTAL COSTS** \$ 11,955,471 \$ 11,470,637 96% 484,834 \$



### SITE # 116- DOWNER ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 21,536,059	\$ 14,459	\$ 21,521,600	100%
Other Services/Operations	-	119,514	(119,514)	-100%
Service Contract	-	31,981	(31,981)	-100%
Architect Fees	3,891,601	78,562	3,813,039	98%
Preliminary Tests	185,297	-	185,297	100%
Inspection Services	241,806	-	241,806	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	122,332	-	122,332	2 100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	17,990	-	17,990	100%
Site Surveys	37,779	-	37,779	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	922,694	-	922,694	100%
Planning	732,191	278,580	453,611	62%
Construction Testing	312,253	-	312,253	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	1,278,997	-	1,278,997	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 29,278,997	\$ 523,096	\$ 28,755,901	98%

### SITE # 117 - ELLERHORST ELEMENTARY

%
---

	PROJECT BUDGET	EX	PENDITURES TO DATE	VARIANCE	OF BUDGET REMAINING
	DODGET		TODATE	VARIANCE	KEMAINING
Construction	\$ 7,992,503	1 5	\$ 20,319	\$ 7,972,182	100%
Other Services/Operations		-	2,041	(2,041)	-100%
Architect Fees	1,540,493	3	86,647	1,453,846	94%
Preliminary Tests	71,378	8	-	71,378	100%
Inspection Services	96,813	1	-	96,811	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	47,124	4	79	47,045	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	6,930	0	-	6,930	100%
Site Surveys	14,553	3	-	14,553	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	415,012	2	-	415,012	100%
Planning	282,048	8	137,511	144,537	51%
Construction Testing	125,010	6	-	125,016	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	483,820	0	-	483,820	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 11,075,680	6 5	\$ 246,597	\$ 10,829,089	98%



### SITE # 120 - EL SOBRANTE ELEMENTARY

0	/
-/	'n

	PROJECT	EXI	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 6,929,905	\$	281,452	\$ 6,648,453	96%
Architect Fees	1,379,918	3	36,733	1,343,185	97%
Preliminary Tests	68,089	)	-	68,089	100%
Inspection Services	83,954	Ļ	3,425	80,529	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees	44,952	2	2,020	42,932	96%
CDE Plan Check Fees	6,611		176	6,435	97%
Site Surveys	13,882	2	-	13,882	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	367,475	;	-	367,475	100%
Planning	269,049	)	97,755	171,294	64%
Construction Testing	108,413	3	824	107,589	99%
Project Contingency and District Services	430,580	)	-	430,580	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 9,702,827	' \$	422,385	\$ 9,280,442	96%

### SITE # 123 - FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 7,449,372	2 \$	\$ 393,829	\$ 7,055,543	95%
Repair & Maintenance	-	-	804	(804)	-100%
Architect Fees	1,404,982	2	48,950	1,356,032	97%
Preliminary Tests	69,583	3	-	69,583	100%
Site Acquisition	-	-	53,250	(53,250)	-100%
Inspection Services	85,796	5	7,694	78,102	91%
DSA Plan Check Fees	45,938	3	1,199	44,739	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees	6,756	5	309	6,447	95%
Site Surveys	14,187	7	-	14,187	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	358,463	3	-	358,463	100%
Planning	274,953	3	88,845	186,108	68%
Construction Testing	110,792	2	987	109,805	99%
Project Contingency and District Services	456,054	1	=	456,054	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 10,276,875	5 \$	\$ 595,867	\$ 9,681,008	94%



### SITE # 124-FORD ELEMENTARY

n	/
٧/	'n

	1	PROJECT	EXI	PENDITURES			OF BUDGET
		BUDGET		TO DATE	V	ARIANCE	REMAINING
Site Improvement	\$	-	\$	39,644	\$	(39,644)	-100%
Construction		7,752,698		214,564		7,538,134	97%
Other Services/Operations		-		200		(200)	-100%
Repair & Maintenance		-		1,000		(1,000)	-100%
Architect Fees		1,446,890		37,694		1,409,196	97%
Preliminary Tests		71,670		-		71,670	100%
Inspection Services		88,370		3,756		84,614	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees		47,316		1,209		46,107	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees		6,958		-		6,958	100%
Site Surveys		14,612		-		14,612	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		289,374		-		289,374	100%
Planning		283,203		100,558		182,645	64%
Construction Testing		114,116		721		113,395	99%
Project Contingency and District Services		469,725		-		469,725	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	10,584,934	\$	399,346	\$	10,185,588	96%

### SITE # 125 - GRANT ELEMENTARY

	P	ROJECT	E	XPENDITURES			OF BUDGET
	В	UDGET		TO DATE	V	ARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	10,238,999	\$	346,729	\$	9,892,270	97%
Other Services/Operations		-		200		(200)	-100%
Architect Fees		1,976,853		63,342		1,913,511	97%
Preliminary Tests		99,423	,	-		99,423	100%
Inspection Services		122,589	1	7,803		114,786	94%
DSA Plan Check Fees		65,638	,	2,318		63,320	96%
CDE Plan Check Fees		9,653	,	208		9,445	98%
Site Surveys		20,271		-		20,271	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		413,534		-		413,534	100%
Planning		392,864		133,977		258,887	66%
Construction Testing		158,304		980		157,324	99%
General Equipment		-		3,622		(3,622)	-100%
Project Contingency and District Services		626,819	1	_		626,819	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	14,124,945		\$ 559,179	\$	13,565,766	96%



### SITE # 127 - HARDING ELEMENTARY

%

	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 10,200,453	3 \$ 2,000	\$ 10,198,453	100%
Materials and Supplies		- 41	(41)	-100%
Other Services/Operations		10,228	(10,228)	-100%
Architect Fees	2,094,59	613,910	1,480,681	71%
Preliminary Tests	112,120	<u> </u>	112,126	100%
Inspection Services	138,252	2 420	137,832	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	74,02	39,998	34,027	46%
CDE Plan Check Fees	10,886	<u>-</u>	10,886	100%
Site Surveys	22,86	-	22,861	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	685,568	-	685,568	100%
Planning	443,06	252,517	190,544	43%
Construction Testing	178,53	-	178,531	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	648,284	-	648,284	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 14,608,638	3 \$ 919,114	\$ 13,689,524	94%

### SITE # 128 - HANNA RANCH ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT						OF BUDGET
_	BU	DGET	EX	PENDITURES	VAF	RIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	370,105	9	\$ 16,233	\$	353,872	96%
Architect Fees		65,736		2,431		63,305	96%
Preliminary Tests		3,271		-		3,271	100%
Inspection Services		4,033		-		4,033	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		2,160	1	62		2,098	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees		318		-		318	100%
Site Surveys		667		-		667	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		13,377		-		13,377	100%
Planning		12,925		10,637		2,288	18%
Construction Testing		5,208		-		5,208	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		22,188		-		22,188	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	499,988		\$ 29,363	\$	470,625	94%



### SITE # 126 - HERCULES ELEMENTARY

%

	PROJECT	EXI	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 11,420,090	\$	12,874	\$ 11,407,216	100%
Materials and Supplies	-		33	(33)	-100%
Other Services/Operations	-		9,240	(9,240)	-100%
Architect Fees	2,160,272		537,462	1,622,810	75%
Preliminary Tests	119,796		-	119,796	100%
Inspection Services	147,710		-	147,710	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	79,089		49,053	30,036	38%
CDE Plan Check Fees	11,631		-	11,631	100%
Site Surveys	24,424		-	24,424	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	210,595		-	210,595	100%
Planning	473,369		432,672	40,697	9%
Construction Testing	190,743		-	190,743	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	689,027		-	689,027	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 15,526,746	\$	1,041,334	\$ 14,485,412	93%

### SITE # 122 - HIGHLAND ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 9,606,373	\$ \$ 12,460	\$ 9,593,913	99%
Architect Fees	1,772,435	7,767	1,764,668	99%
Preliminary Tests	88,710	-	88,710	100%
Inspection Services	109,380	-	109,380	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	58,566	-	58,566	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	8,613	-	8,613	100%
Site Surveys	18,086	-	18,086	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	345,447	-	345,447	100%
Planning	350,533	86,452	264,081	75%
Construction Testing	141,247	-	141,247	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	580,440	-	580,440	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 13,079,829	\$ 106,679	\$ 12,973,150	99%



#### SITE # 130 - KENSINGTON ELEMENTARY

%

				70
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 12,084,843	\$ -	\$ 12,084,843	100%
Other Services/Operations	-	2,134	(2,134)	-100%
Architect Fees	2,233,215	100,610	2,132,605	95%
Preliminary Tests	106,133	-	106,133	100%
Inspection Services	143,950	-	143,950	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	70,069	-	70,069	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	10,304	-	10,304	100%
Site Surveys	21,639	-	21,639	100%
Leases- Temporary Housing	411,811	-	411,811	100%
Planning	419,382	146,083	273,299	65%
Construction Testing	185,888	-	185,888	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	716,569	-	716,569	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 16,403,803	\$ 248,827	\$ 16,154,976	98%

### SITE # 134 - LAKE ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	VARIANCE	OF BUDGET REMAINING
Site Improvements	\$ -	\$ 39,645	\$ (39,645)	0%
Construction	8,385,390	293,885	8,091,505	96%
Architect Fees	1,643,720	45,536	1,598,184	97%
Preliminary Tests	81,934	-	81,934	100%
Inspection Services	101,025	3,150	97,875	97%
DSA Plan Check Fees	54,092	2,480	51,612	95%
CDE Plan Check Fees	7,955	191	7,764	98%
Site Surveys	16,705	-	16,705	100%
Leases- Temporary Housing	394,510	-	394,510	100%
Planning	323,758	100,885	222,873	69%
Construction Testing	130,458	1,078	129,380	99%
Project Contingency and District Services	517,293	=	517,293	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 11,656,839	\$ 486,850	\$ 11,169,989	96%



### SITE # 135 -LINCOLN ELEMENTARY

%

	PROJECT	EXP	ENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET	,	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 10,742,147	\$	93,613	\$10,648,534	99%
Materials and Supplies	-		49	(49)	-100%
Non-capital equipment	-		11,366	(11,366)	-100%
Other Services/Operations	-		15,975	(15,975)	-100%
Architect Fees	2,199,680		724,432	1,475,248	67%
Preliminary Tests	118,389		-	118,389	100%
Inspection Services	145,974		2,060	143,914	99%
DSA Plan Check Fees	78,159		43,040	35,119	45%
CDE Plan Check Fees	11,494		-	11,494	100%
Site Surveys	24,137		-	24,137	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	751,883		-	751,883	100%
Planning	467,807		295,389	172,418	37%
Construction Testing	188,502		-	188,502	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	683,939		-	683,939	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 15,412,111	\$	1,185,924	\$14,226,187	92%

### SITE # 132 - M.L.KING ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT	$\mathbf{E}$	XPE	NDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET		T	DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 11,464,499	)	\$	43,743	\$11,420,756	100%
Architect Fees	2,134,054	4		44,367	2,089,687	98%
Preliminary Tests	107,620	)		-	107,620	100%
Inspection Services	132,696	5		2,932	129,764	98%
DSA Plan Check Fees	71,050	)		2,273	68,777	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees	10,449	)		-	10,449	100%
Site Surveys	21,942	2		-	21,942	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	606,785	5		-	606,785	100%
Planning	425,255	5		127,484	297,771	70%
Construction Testing	171,356	5		-	171,356	100%
General Equipment	-	-		3,622	(3,622)	-100%
Project Contingency and District Services	703,329	)		-	703,329	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 15,849,034	1	\$	224,421	\$15,624,613	99%



#### SITE # 137- MADERA ELEMENTARY

%

								70
	PF	ROJECT	EX	KPE	NDITURES			OF BUDGET
_	BU	UDGET		T	D DATE	VA	RIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	7,450,366	ó	\$	28,182	\$	7,422,184	100%
Materials and Supplies		-	-		33		(33)	-100%
Other Services/Operations		-	-		11,840		(11,840)	-100%
Architect Fees		1,643,613	3		464,676		1,178,937	72%
Preliminary Tests		80,345	5		-		80,345	100%
Inspection Services		99,066	ó		796		98,270	99%
DSA Plan Check Fees		53,043	3		33,348		19,695	37%
CDE Plan Check Fees		7,800	)		-		7,800	100%
Site Surveys		16,381			-		16,381	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		350,080	)		-		350,080	100%
Planning		317,478	3		220,763		96,715	30%
Construction Testing		127,927	7		-		127,927	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		471,159	)		-		471,159	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	10,617,257	7	\$	759,638	\$	9,857,619	93%

### SITE # 139 - MIRA VISTA ELEMENTARY

% **OF BUDGET PROJECT EXPENDITURES BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction 9,067,669 \$ 9,000 \$ 9,058,669 100% Other Services/Operations 1,910 -100% (1,910)Architect Fees 1,763,975 126,381 1,637,594 93% Preliminary Tests 81,738 81,738 100% Inspection Services 110,862 386 110,476 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 53,963 53,963 100% CDE Plan Check Fees 7,936 7,936 100% 100% Site Surveys 16,665 16,665 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 560,106 560,106 322,985 169,047 153,938 48% Planning 1% Construction Testing 143,161 143,161 Project Contingency and District Services 554,037 554,037 100% TOTAL COSTS 12,683,097 306,724 \$ 12,376,373 98%



### SITE # 142 - MONTALVIN ELEMENTARY

%

	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Site Improvements	\$ -	\$ 14,127	\$ (14,127)	0%
Construction	7,693,163	36,463	7,656,700	100%
Materials and Supplies	-	49	(49)	-100%
Non-capital equipment	-	-	-	-100%
Repair and Maintenance	-	55,533	(55,533)	-100%
Other Services/Operations	-	11,724	(11,724)	-100%
Architect Fees	1,694,190	406,447	1,287,743	76%
Preliminary Tests	82,626	-	82,626	100%
Inspection Services	101,878	420	101,458	3 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	54,549	27,722	26,827	49%
CDE Plan Check Fees	8,022	-	8,022	100%
Site Surveys	16,846	-	16,846	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	328,760	-	328,760	100%
Planning	326,492	205,325	121,167	37%
Construction Testing	131,560	-	131,560	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	484,719	-	484,719	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 10,922,805	\$ 757,810	\$ 10,164,995	93%

### SITE # 142- MURPHY ELEMENTARY

0/0

	- 3		EX	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>		OF BUDGET
	B	UDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	9,022,943		\$ 15,771	\$ 9,007,172	100%
Other Services/Operations		-		2,079	(2,079)	-100%
Architect Fees		1,730,332		100,224	1,630,108	94%
Preliminary Tests		80,144		-	80,144	100%
Inspection Services		108,701		-	108,701	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		52,911		65	52,846	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees		7,781		-	7,781	100%
Site Surveys		16,340		-	16,340	100%
Leases-Temporary Housing		417,146		-	417,146	100%
Planning		316,687		149,896	166,791	53%
Construction Testing		140,369		-	140,369	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		543,270		-	543,270	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	12,436,624		\$ 268,035	\$ 12,168,589	98%



#### **NEW ELEMENTARY**

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$17,286,600 \$ \$17,286,600 100% Architect Fees 3,070,352 3,070,352 100% Preliminary Tests 152,782 152,782 100% Inspection Services 188,382 188,382 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 100,866 100,866 100% CDE Plan Check Fees 14,833 14,833 100% Site Surveys 31,150 31,150 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 624,823 624,823 100% Planning 603,713 603,713 100% Construction Testing 243,265 100% 243,265 Project Contingency and District Services 895,057 895,057 100% TOTAL COSTS \$23,211,825 \$23,211,825 100%

#### SITE # 144 - NYSTROM ELEMENTARY

% PROJECT EXPENDITURES **OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$14,459,879 581,716 \$13,878,163 96% \$ 1,000 -100% Repairs and Maintenance (1,000)Other Services/Operations 203 (203)-100% Architect Fees 2,782,817 76,171 2,706,646 97% 100% Preliminary Tests 142,348 142,348 Inspection Services 175,516 7,833 167,683 96% DSA Plan Check Fees 97% 93,977 2,627 91,350 CDE Plan Check Fees 13,820 97% 356 13,464 29,022 29,022 100% Site Surveys Leases - Temporary Housing 788,019 788,019 100% Planning 562,480 150,492 411,988 73% Construction Testing 226,651 2,040 224,611 99% General Equipment 3,622 (3,622)-100% Project Contingency and District Services 895,061 895,061 100% TOTAL COSTS \$20,169,589 \$ 826,060 \$19,343,529 96%



#### SITE # 146 OHLONE ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$10,081,853 \$ 10,873 \$10,070,980 100% Repair and Maintenance 49,025 -100% (49,025)Architect Fees 1,759,448 11,938 1,747,510 99% Preliminary Tests 88,096 88,096 100% Inspection Services 108,623 108,623 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 58,160 48 58,112 100% CDE Plan Check Fees 8,553 8,553 100% Site Surveys 17,961 17,961 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 244,315 244,315 100% Planning 348,108 116,320 231,788 67% Construction Testing 140,269 140,269 100% Project Contingency and District Services 596,972 596,972 100%

\$13,452,359

\$

188,204

\$13,264,155

99%

#### SITE # 145 - OLINDA ELEMENTARY

TOTAL COSTS

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$ 5,338,238 226,081 \$ 5,112,157 96% Architect Fees 996,449 35,795 96% 960,654 Preliminary Tests 48,985 48,985 100% Inspection Services 60,399 4,093 56,306 93% 97% DSA Plan Check Fees 32,340 819 31,521 CDE Plan Check Fees 4,756 4,756 100% 9,987 9,987 100% Site Surveys Leases - Temporary Housing 159,206 159,206 100% 193,561 103,029 53% Planning 90,532 Construction Testing 77,995 77,902 100% 93 Project Contingency and District Services 321,436 100% 321,436 TOTAL COSTS \$ 7,243,352 \$ 357,413 \$ 6,885,939 95%



#### SITE # 147- PERES ELEMENTARY

0/0 **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$12,386,175 43,335 \$12,342,840 100% Materials and Supplies 49 (49)-100% Other Services/Operations 10,828 (10,828)-100% Architect Fees 2,687,023 870,061 1,816,962 68% Preliminary Tests 133,747 133,747 100% Inspection Services 164,911 547 164,364 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 88,299 53,983 34,316 39% CDE Plan Check Fees 12,985 12,985 100% Site Surveys 27,269 27,269 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 598,982 598,982 100% 40% Planning 528,496 319,413 209,083 Construction Testing 212,957 212,957 100% Project Contingency and District Services 782,047 782,047 100% TOTAL COSTS \$17,622,891 \$ 1,298,216 \$16,324,675 93%

#### SITE # 150 - RIVERSIDE ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$ 8,744,678 \$ 22,413 \$ 8,722,265 100% Materials and Supplies 24 -100% (24)Other Services/Operations 9,868 -100% (9,868)Architect Fees 1,947,571 477,304 1,470,267 75% Preliminary Tests 93,594 93,594 100% Inspection Services 115,402 420 114,982 -100% 61,790 43% DSA Plan Check Fees 35,175 26,615 CDE Plan Check Fees 9,087 9,087 100% 19,082 19,082 100% Site Surveys Leases - Temporary Housing 342,086 342,086 100% Planning 369,831 204,802 165,029 45% Construction Testing 149,023 149,023 100% Project Contingency and District Services 550,384 550,384 100% TOTAL COSTS \$12,402,527 750,006 \$11,652,521 94% \$



### SITE # 152 - SEAVIEW ELEMENTARY

%

						70
	PROJECT		<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			OF BUDGET
	В	UDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Site Improvements	\$	-	\$	39,711	\$ (39,711)	-100%
Construction		5,902,297		222,854	5,679,443	96%
Architect Fees		1,079,570		34,407	1,045,163	97%
Preliminary Tests		53,175		-	53,175	100%
Inspection Services		65,565		3,750	61,815	94%
DSA Plan Check Fees		35,106		1,800	33,306	95%
CDE Plan Check Fees		5,163		-	5,163	100%
Site Surveys		10,842		-	10,842	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing		333,431		-	333,431	100%
Planning		210,119		78,721	131,398	63%
Construction Testing		84,667		302	84,365	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		361,281			361,281	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	8,141,216	Ş	\$ 381,545	\$7,759,671	95%

### SITE # 154- SHANNON ELEMENTARY

0/0

	PROJECT				OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 5,521,129	\$	176,452	\$5,344,677	97%
Architect Fees	1,047,386		34,584	1,012,802	97%
Preliminary Tests	51,553		-	51,553	100%
Inspection Services	63,565		1,800	61,765	97%
DSA Plan Check Fees	34,035		1,892	32,143	94%
CDE Plan Check Fees	5,005		-	5,005	100%
Site Surveys	10,511		-	10,511	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	264,342		-	264,342	100%
Planning	203,708		81,930	121,778	60%
Construction Testing	82,084		-	82,084	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	338,219		-	338,219	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 7,621,536	\$	296,658	\$7,324,878	96%



#### SITE # 155 - SHELDON ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction \$10,422,899 \$ 20,544 \$10,402,355 100% Other Services/Operations 2,595 -100% (2,595)Architect Fees 1,941,212 112,755 1,828,457 94% Preliminary Tests 91,657 91,657 100% Inspection Services 124,315 124,315 100% 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 60,511 73 60,438 CDE Plan Check Fees 100% 8,899 8,899 Site Surveys 18,687 18,687 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 100% 370,204 370,204 Planning 56% 362,177 157,558 204,619 Construction Test 160,533 100% 160,533 Project Contingency and District Services 100% 619,450 619,450 TOTAL COSTS \$14,180,543 \$ 293,525 \$13,887,018 98%

#### SITE # 157 - STEGE ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET** BUDGET TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Construction 338,962 \$ 8,349,662 96% \$ 8,688,624 Other Services/Operations 200 -100% (200)97% Architect Fees 1,700,586 43,762 1,656,824 Preliminary Tests 84,915 84,915 100% Inspection Services 104,701 6,775 97,926 94% DSA Plan Check Fees 56,061 97% 1,507 54,554 CDE Plan Check Fees 8,244 207 8,037 97% 17,313 Site Surveys 17,313 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 410,530 410,530 100% Planning 335,539 102,006 233,533 70% Construction Testing 135,205 1,681 133,524 99% General Equipment 56 -100% (56)Project Contingency and District Services 535,969 100%535,969 TOTAL COSTS 495,156 \$12,077,688 \$ \$11,582,532 96%



#### SITE # 158 - STEWART ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING Site Improvements \$ 1,128,589 \$ (1,128,589) -100% Construction 79% 6,854,600 1,433,676 5,420,924 Other Services/Operations 171,881 -100% (171,881)Architect Fees 1,493,109 610,748 882,361 59% Preliminary Tests 74,830 22,925 51,905 69% Inspection Services 102,977 16,920 86,057 84% DSA Plan Check Fees 68,651 42,460 26,191 38% CDE Plan Check Fees 13,730 13,730 100% Site Surveys 17,163 17,163 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 10,500 10,500 100% 410,366 Planning 343,255 (67,111)-20% 159,781 93% Construction Testing 172,252 12,471 Project Contingency and District Services 100% 424,953 424,953 **TOTAL COSTS** \$ 9,576,019 \$ 3,850,036 \$ 5,725,983 60%

### SITE # 159 - TARA HILLSELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT OF BUDGET EXPENDITURES BUDGET** TO DATE VARIANCE REMAINING \$ 8,936,846 12,458 100% Construction 8,924,388 Other Services/Operations -100% 1,836 (1,836)Architect Fees 1,717,416 91,225 1,626,191 95% Preliminary Tests 79,586 79,586 100% 100% Inspection Services 107,943 107,943 DSA Plan Check Fees 52,542 100% 52,542 CDE Plan Check Fees 7,727 100% 7,727 Site Surveys 16,226 16,226 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 437,416 437,416 100% Planning 314,481 139,344 175,137 56% Construction Testing 139,391 139,391 100% Project Contingency and District Services 100%539,443 539,443 TOTAL COSTS \$ 12,349,017 \$ 244,863 \$ 12,104,154 98%



### SITE # 160 - VALLEY VIEW ELEMENTARY

	PROJECT	EXI	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 7,731,816	\$	283,236	\$ 7,448,580	96%
Architect Fees	1,450,565	,	37,986	1,412,579	97%
Preliminary Tests	71,878	3	-	71,878	100%
Inspection Services	88,626	)	3,250	85,376	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees	47,453	3	2,177	45,276	95%
CDE Plan Check Fees	6,978	3	180	6,798	97%
Site Surveys	14,655	,	-	14,655	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	333,431		-	333,431	100%
Planning	284,021		102,650	181,371	64%
Construction Testing	114,446	)	658	113,788	99%
Project Contingency and District Services	471,056	)	-	471,056	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$10,614,925	5 \$	430,137	\$10,184,788	96%

### SITE # 162 - VERDE ELEMENTARY

0/0	
/ 0	

	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 9,751,178	3	\$ 55,078	\$ 9,696,100	99%
Materials and Supplies	-	-	80,747	(80,747)	-100%
Other Services/Operations	-	-	9,817	(9,817)	-100%
Architect Fees	2,162,613	3	405,571	1,757,042	81%
Preliminary Tests	103,961	l	-	103,961	100%
Inspection Services	151,399	)	737	150,662	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	100,933	3	34,041	66,892	66%
CDE Plan Check Fees	20,187	7	-	20,187	100%
Site Surveys	21,196	ó	-	21,196	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	342,086	ó	-	342,086	100%
Planning	464,290	)	225,709	238,581	51%
Construction Testing	238,098	3	-	238,098	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	620,216	ó	-	620,216	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$13,976,155	5	\$ 811,700	\$13,164,455	94%



#### SITE # 163 -VISTA HILLS ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT EXPENDITURES OF BUDGET BUDGET** TO DATE **VARIANCE** REMAINING - \$ Construction 2,000 \$ (2,000)-100% Architect Fees Inspection Services DSA Plan Check Fees CDE Plan Check Fees Site Surveys Leases - Temporary Housing Planning Construction Testing Project Contingency and District Services TOTAL COSTS - \$ \$ 2,000 \$ (2,000)-100%

### SITE # 164 - WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY

% **PROJECT OF BUDGET EXPENDITURES BUDGET** TO DATE **VARIANCE** REMAINING Construction 10,153,495 \$ 13,780 \$ 10,139,715 100%Other Services/Operations 2,259 -100% (2,259)Architect Fees 1,888,642 85,792 1,802,850 95% 100% Preliminary Tests 89,453 89,453 Inspection Services 110,297 110,297 100% DSA Plan Check Fees 59,057 56 59,001 100% CDE Plan Check Fees 8,685 8,685 100% Site Surveys 18,238 18,238 100% 100% Leases - Temporary Housing 377,672 377,672 Planning 353,471 131,706 221,765 63% 100% Construction Testing 142,431 142,431 Project Contingency and District Services 603,022 603,022 100% **TOTAL COSTS** \$ 13,804,464 \$ 233,593 \$ 13,570,871 98%



### SITE # 165 - WILSON ELEMENTARY

n	/
9/	0

					70
	PROJECT	EX	PENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	 BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$ 9,569,169	\$	306,788	\$ 9,262,381	97%
Other Services/Operations	-	-	21,101	(21,101)	-100%
Architect Fees	1,856,629	)	26,664	1,829,965	99%
Preliminary Tests	93,111		-	93,111	100%
Inspection Services	114,807	7	5,099	109,708	96%
DSA Plan Check Fees	61,471		1,768	59,703	97%
CDE Plan Check Fees	9,040	)	193	8,847	98%
Site Surveys	18,984	ŀ	-	18,984	100%
Leases - Temporary Housing	407,527	7	-	407,527	100%
Planning	367,923	3	111,812	256,111	70%
Construction Testing	148,254	1	1,279	146,975	99%
Project Contingency and District Services	 587,291	=	=	587,291	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 13,234,205	,	\$ 474,704	\$ 12,759,501	96%

### SITE # 606 - FISCAL SERVICES

SITE # 000 - FISCAL SERVICES						
						0/0
	PROJECT	EXPE	ENDITURES			OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	Т	O DATE	VA	RIANCE	REMAINING
Classified Salaries	\$	- \$	64,264	\$	(64,264)	-100%
PERS Classified		-	1,810		(1,810)	-100%
FICA Classified		-	3,908		(3,908)	-100%
Medicare Classified		-	932		(932)	-100%
Health & Welfare Classified		-	4,891		(4,891)	-100%
State Unemployment		-	89		(89)	-100%
Workers Compensation		-	1,419		(1,419)	-100%
Retiree Benefits		-	1,947		(1,947)	-100%
PERS Reduction		-	6,507		(6,507)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	- \$	85,767	\$	(85,767)	-100%



### SITE # 615 - OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SERVICES

							%
	PROJECT	E	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>				OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		TO	DATE	VA	RIANCE	REMAINING
Construction	\$	-	\$	603,212	\$	(603,212)	-100%
Classified Salaries		-		237,594		(237,594)	-100%
PERS Classified		-		6,088		(6,088)	-100%
FICA Classified		-		11,043		(11,043)	-100%
Medicare Classified		-		3,445		(3,445)	-100%
Health & Welfare Classified				15,430		(15,430)	-100%
State Unemployment		-		339		(339)	-100%
Workers Compensation				5,257		(5,257)	-100%
Retiree Benefits		-		4,313		(4,313)	-100%
PERS Reduction		-		23,322		(23,322)	-100%
Materials and Supplies		-		10,523		(10,523)	-100%
Mileage		-		975		(975)	-100%
Audit		-		12,000		(12,000)	-100%
Utilities		-		-		-	-100%
Repairs and Maintenance		-		-		-	-100%
Consultants/Reviews				52,685		(52,685)	-100%
Other Services/Operations		-		1,225,895		(1,225,895)	-100%
Architect Fees		-		190,413		(190,413)	-100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		-		7,193		(7,193)	-100%
Preliminary Tests		-		74,169		(74,169)	-100%
Planning		-		4,349,239		(4,349,239)	-100%
General Equipment		-		167,136		(167,136)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	-	\$	7,000,271	\$	(7,000,271)	-100%



### THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC

### Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, CA 90503

310-792-7001 Fax: 310-792-7068 1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 400

Washington, DC 20005 202-737-3300

Fax: 202-737-2684

100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hartford, CT 06103 203-249-7246

203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROLOVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedules) of the West Contra Costa Unified School District (the District) for the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2001, 2002 and 2003 and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2003. We have conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Compliance

Specifically we tested, compliance with laws, regulations, and contracts applicable to personal services, employee benefits, travel consulting services and operating expenses. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Schedules are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts, noncompliance with which could have a direct material effect on the determination of amounts shown on the Schedule. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Schedules and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal controls over financial reporting applicable to the Schedules would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk of misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting that we have reported to management of the District in a separate letter dated November 21, 2003.



This report is intended for the information of the Board of Education, Citizen's Oversight Committee and West Contra Costa Unified School District's management and is not intended and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Torrance, California November 21, 2003



### THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC

### Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard

Suite 500

Torrance, CA 90503 310-792-7001

Fax: 310-792-7068

1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.

Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005

202-737-3300 Fax: 202-737-2684 100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hartford, CT 06103

203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

The Honorable Members of the Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenue and Expenditures (the Schedules) of the West Contra Unified School District (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bond, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2001,2002 and 2003. Our audit was made in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards for financial and compliance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall Schedules presentation. We believe that our audit provides reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified requirements.

The District's management is responsible for the District's compliance with laws and regulations. In connection with the audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the District's compliance with the following requirements:

- Bond proceeds are used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- Pubic Contract Code
- Governmental Code

Based on our audit, we found that, for the items tested, the West Contra Costa Unified School District complied with the state laws and regulations referred to above. Further, based on our examination for items not tested, nothing came to our attention to indicate that the West Contra Unified School District has not complied with the state laws and regulations.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Education, Citizen's Oversight Committee and West Contra Costa Unified School District's management and is not intended and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties

Torrance, California November 21, 2003



